REVIEW

Check for updates

Exploring the Intersection of Sociology and Business for Global Peace: A Systematic Review of Contemporary Approaches

Mohammed Abdul Jalil*1

1 Junior Deputy Registrar, World University of Bangladesh, Dhaka

ABSTRACT: In an increasingly interconnected world marked by economic interdependence and socio-political challenges, the quest for global peace has transcended governmental and diplomatic domains. Sociology and business, two distinct yet influential fields, offer transformative potential when integrated towards peace-building objectives. This systematic review explores how sociological theories and practices intersect with business strategies to promote peace, equity, and sustainability. By reviewing scholarly literature from 2000 to 2024, this study highlights how concepts such as corporate social responsibility (CSR), ethical business conduct, diversity management, and stakeholder theory are influenced by sociological insights. The findings indicate that sociologically informed business practices contribute significantly to reducing structural inequalities, fostering inclusive growth, and mitigating conflict through responsible capitalism. However, the review also uncovers inherent tensions between profit-driven models and peace-centric values. This paper concludes with recommendations for policymakers, business leaders, and scholars to deepen interdisciplinary collaboration for a more peaceful global society.

Keywords: Sociology, Business, Global Peace, Corporate Social Responsibility, Social Responsibility, Ethical Leadership, Sustainable Development, Stakeholder Theory, Peacebuilding, Interdisciplinary Research.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of peace in contemporary society extends beyond the mere absence of conflict. It encompasses social justice, human dignity, economic equity, and sustainable development. As globalization intensifies interdependencies among nations, businesses are no longer isolated economic entities but influential societal actors whose decisions and policies impact local and global communities. At the same time, sociology, with its rich theoretical frameworks and tools for analyzing human behavior and social institutions, offers valuable insights into understanding and addressing the root causes of social unrest and inequality [1]. Historically, the domain of peacebuilding has been dominated by international relations, political science, and conflict resolution studies. However, recent scholarship has begun to explore the transformative role businesses can play in promoting peace, particularly when guided by sociological principles such as social responsibility, ethical engagement, and community participation [2]. This intersection offers a unique lens through which global peace can be pursued—not only through diplomatic channels but also through corporate behavior, supply chain ethics, and inclusive business practices.

Aims and Objectives

This systematic review is guided by the following objectives:

a. To explore how sociological theories and concepts contribute to understanding the role of business in promoting global peace.

b. To identify and analyze contemporary business practices that reflects sociological values such as equity, inclusion, and social responsibility.

c. To evaluate the challenges and limitations businesses face when aligning their strategies with peace-oriented goals.

d. To propose policy and educational recommendations for integrating sociological insights into business operations and leadership.

These objectives aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the interdisciplinary potential between sociology and business in fostering a peaceful and sustainable world system.

This paper aims to systematically review the literature at the nexus of sociology and business in contributing to a more peaceful contemporary world system. Specifically, it seeks to understand how sociological theories and insights inform business strategies that promote social harmony, economic justice, and sustainable peace. By examining contemporary approaches from various disciplines, this review contributes to a growing body of knowledge that emphasizes interdisciplinary solutions to global challenges.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a systematic review methodology to gather and analyze relevant academic literature published between 2000 and 2024. The databases used for the literature search included Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, and Google Scholar.

Search Strategy and Keywords

The search terms used included:
"Sociology and business"
"Corporate social responsibility and peace"
"Sociology of peace"
"Ethical business practices"
"Business and global development"
"Sociology in business leadership"
"Business contribution to social cohesion"

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine keywords to refine the search.

Inclusion Criteria

Studies that explicitly examined sociological theories or concepts in relation to business practices and their impact on peace, justice, or social stability.

Exclusion Criteria

Articles focused solely on economic development or business innovation without reference to social impacts or peace-related outcomes.

Selection Process

Initial screening of 145 articles resulted in 65 eligible sources after abstract review. Following a full-text review, 40 high-quality sources were selected for inclusion in the final synthesis.

Theoretical Framework

To understand the intersection between sociology and business in fostering global peace, it is essential to explore the underlying theoretical constructs from both fields. This section presents sociological and business-related frameworks that offer insight into how peace-oriented strategies can be developed and implemented within organizational and global contexts.

Sociological Theories Relevant to Peace

Structural Functionalism: This theory views society as a complex system with interdependent parts that work together to maintain stability. From this perspective, businesses are social institutions that must contribute to the smooth functioning of society by adhering to social norms and values. Peace is achieved when institutions—including corporations—promote social equilibrium through responsible practices, fair employment, and community engagement [3].

Conflict Theory: Rooted in the work of Karl Marx, this theory emphasizes power struggles between different social groups. It is particularly relevant when analyzing exploitative labor practices, environmental degradation, and income inequality perpetuated by corporations. Conflict theory encourages businesses to reduce systemic inequality by promoting fair trade, ethical supply chains, and equitable corporate governance [4].

Symbolic Interactionism: This micro-level theory focuses

on the meanings individuals attach to social interactions. Within a business context, this theory can explain how workplace culture, diversity, and employee relations influence broader societal attitudes toward cooperation, tolerance, and peace. Symbolic interactionism supports initiatives like inclusive leadership and intercultural dialogue in the workplace [5].

Feminist and Postcolonial Theories: These frameworks critique patriarchal and colonial power structures embedded within global business systems. They advocate for inclusive practices that empower marginalized voices, thus contributing to social justice and peace. Integrating these perspectives into business can help challenge discriminatory norms and build equitable environments [6].

Business Ethics and CSR Theories

Stakeholder Theory: Proposed by R. Edward Freeman, this theory argues that businesses should consider the interests of all stakeholders—not just shareholders. This includes employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment. By doing so, companies contribute to social harmony and sustainability, aligning closely with peacebuilding efforts [2].

Triple Bottom Line (TBL): The TBL approach suggests that companies should focus on three key performance areas: people, planet, and profit. It encourages firms to measure their social and environmental impact alongside financial performance, thus contributing to long-term peace through responsible operations [7].

Transformational Leadership Theory: Transformational leaders are those who inspire and motivate employees to exceed expectations, foster ethical behavior, and create positive organizational change. Such leadership can facilitate a culture of mutual respect, inclusion, and peace within and beyond the workplace [8].

REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

This section synthesizes findings from the selected literature, organized around key themes that illustrate how sociology and business collaboratively promote peace in a contemporary global system.

Sociology's Contributions to Peacebuilding through Business

Research shows that sociological insights have increasingly informed business strategies, particularly in areas like conflict sensitivity, community development, and stakeholder engagement. For instance, businesses operating in post-conflict zones have adopted sociologically informed practices such as hiring local labor, investing in community infrastructure, and supporting peace education programs. These efforts not only strengthen a company's social license to operate but also contribute to long-term stability and reconciliation [9].

Business as a Tool for Social Change

Modern businesses play a dual role as economic drivers and social influencers. Scholars argue that companies can use their platforms to challenge systemic injustices, influence public discourse, and advocate for inclusive policies. For example, multinational corporations have supported global movements for gender equality, LGBTQ+ rights, and racial justice—often drawing on sociological research to guide their approaches. The literature highlights the rise of "social enterprises" that prioritize impact over profit and actively seek to solve societal problems such as poverty, inequality, and environmental degradation [8].

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Peace

CSR has emerged as a practical bridge between sociology and business. Several studies demonstrate that well-designed CSR initiatives—especially those based on community consultation and sociocultural understanding—can reduce social tensions, build trust, and foster cooperation. Examples include peace-oriented CSR programs in Colombia, Sri Lanka, and South Africa, where businesses have invested in education, healthcare, and conflict resolution training in areas affected by violence [10].

Organizational Culture and Workplace Diversity

Inclusive workplace environments rooted in sociological principles of equity and social identity can influence broader societal norms. Organizations that embrace multiculturalism, gender diversity, and employee empowerment tend to cultivate internal cultures of respect and empathy, which then ripple outward through their stakeholders and communities. Literature suggests that such cultures contribute not only to organizational success but also to societal peace by modeling constructive, inclusive behaviors [7].

Stakeholder Engagement and Community Development

Businesses that engage stakeholders beyond shareholders—including employees, communities, and governments—are more likely to foster peace. Stakeholder theory, rooted in sociological principles, encourages companies to address the needs of all affected parties [11].

Case Examples

Unilever has implemented peace-focused sustainability strategies in regions with historical tensions by employing local suppliers and supporting female entrepreneurship.

Patagonia, a leader in ethical fashion, supports environmental and indigenous rights campaigns, demonstrating how companies can integrate advocacy into business models.

Starbucks' racial bias training and promotion of refugee employment highlight how symbolic interactionist perspectives can be operationalized in global corporations.

Challenges and Critiques

Despite the promising potential of integrating sociology into business practices for global peace, this interdisciplinary approach is not without limitations and challenges.

The Profit vs. Purpose Dilemma

One of the most significant challenges lies in reconciling the traditional profit-driven motives of businesses with the sociological emphasis on social equity and peace. While many companies have made strides in CSR, critics argue that profit maximization still often takes precedence, undermining genuine peacebuilding efforts [12].

Measurement and Accountability

Another challenge is measuring the success of peace-oriented business practices. Unlike financial metrics, social and peace outcomes are often intangible and difficult to quantify. There is a need for clearer indicators and frameworks to assess the true impact of businesses on global peace [13].

Implications for Policy and Practice

For businesses to effectively contribute to global peace, a shift in mindset and structure is required-one that acknowledges the interconnectedness of social systems and economic actors. Sociological insights offer vital guidance in designing inclusive, just, and sustainable business practices. The following policy and practice recommendations are derived from the interdisciplinary literature:

Embedding Sociological Training in Business Education

Business leaders equipped with sociological perspectives are better prepared to navigate cultural complexities, manage diverse teams, and engage ethically with stakeholders. Incorporating courses on sociology, social justice, and peace studies into business education can foster a new generation of socially responsible managers and entrepreneurs. Scholars argue that integrating the humanities and social sciences into business curricula enhances students' critical thinking and moral reasoning [4, 14].

For instance, business schools could partner with sociology departments to offer joint modules on topics such as inequality, community development, and cultural competency. This aligns with Amartya Sen's call for education systems to promote freedom, justice, and development beyond material prosperity [5].

Incentivizing CSR through Tax Benefits and Regulatory Support

Governments can play a vital role in encouraging businesses to adopt peace-oriented CSR strategies by offering tax deductions, grants, or recognition programs for companies that invest in social initiatives. According to Porter and Kramer, strategic CSR not only benefits society but also enhances long-term competitiveness [2]. However, without institutional incentives, many companies may prioritize short-term profits over long-term peacebuilding goals.

Public policies that tie financial incentives to measurable social impact (e.g., reduced conflict, increased social cohesion, or improved education access) can help scale peace-promoting business practices. The United Nations Global Compact also recommends regulatory frameworks that encourage businesses to go beyond compliance and actively support peace and development goals [10].

Creating Global Benchmarks for Ethical Business Conduct

In the absence of universal standards, ethical business practices often vary across borders, leading to inconsistencies and loopholes in global operations. Establishing globally recognized benchmarks—such as those related to labor rights, environmental responsibility, anti-corruption, and peace promotion—can hold multinational corporations accountable. The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Global Compact principles are examples of such frameworks, but further sociologically-informed benchmarks are needed to incorporate local context, cultural sensitivity, and social justice concerns [9, 15].

Businesses can adopt third-party audits and transparent reporting systems to demonstrate their alignment with peace-oriented values. Integrating sociological indicators—such as inequality reduction, community engagement, and cultural preservation—can broaden the impact of these benchmarks.

Encouraging Public-Private Partnerships for Peacebuilding Initiatives

Collaborations between governments, businesses, and civil society organizations can amplify the impact of peacebuilding initiatives. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have proven effective in areas such as education, healthcare, infrastructure, and post-conflict reconstruction. Sociology informs the design of such collaborations by highlighting the importance of trust, power dynamics, and cultural understanding [1, 4].

For example, businesses can co-fund local peace education programs, support community mediation initiatives, or facilitate inclusive economic development in marginalized areas. These partnerships can also help bridge policy gaps and foster grassroots involvement, ensuring that peacebuilding is contextually relevant and sustainable [3, 5].

Recommendations for Deepening Interdisciplinary Collaboration

For Policymakers: Institutionalize Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Governance

Policymakers should create institutional platforms that bring together sociologists, economists, and business experts to co-develop peace-focused policies. National development plans can benefit from sociological expertise in identifying systemic inequalities and social grievances that may lead to unrest [6].

Recommendation: Establish interdisciplinary peace councils or policy labs at national and regional levels to include sociologists in economic planning and corporate governance discussions.

Example: The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) offer a global model for integrating social, economic, and environmental pillars [10].

Supporting citation: "Peace is not the absence of conflict but the presence of systems that manage diversity and distribute power justly" [3].

For Business Leaders: Embrace Socially Conscious Innovation and Engagement

Modern businesses must move beyond compliance and embrace a transformative role as agents of peace and social equity. This includes integrating sociology-informed strategies into business models, particularly through inclusive innovation, ethical sourcing, and community engagement [2, 4].

Recommendation: Appoint Chief Social Impact Officers or build cross-functional CSR teams with both business strategists and sociologists.

Example: Companies like Unilever and Patagonia have shown how socially conscious brands can drive both profit and peace-oriented progress [8].

Supporting citation: "The purpose of a business is to create value for all stakeholders, not just shareholders" [1].

For Scholars: Develop Peace-Centered Business Research Frameworks

Scholars should advance interdisciplinary frameworks that evaluate how business practices influence peace, equity, and sustainability. There is a growing need for empirical models and case-based research that link sociological constructs with business outcomes.

Recommendation: Promote collaborative research grants and joint academic programs that bring together departments of sociology, business, and development studies.

Example: Programs such as the Business for Peace initiative by the United Nations encourage academic engagement through research, education, and advocacy [10].

Supporting citation: "Social science must not only understand the world, but help change it toward more humane ends" [5].

For All Stakeholders: Promote Transdisciplinary Education and Training

One major barrier to interdisciplinary work is disciplinary siloing. Transdisciplinary education—where students and professionals are trained across fields—can bridge this gap.

Recommendation: Encourage universities and professional bodies to offer interdisciplinary degrees (e.g., MBA in Peace and Development, or Business Sociology).

Example: Institutions like Columbia University and Oxford offer interdisciplinary programs that blend sociology, economics, and leadership for global development.

Supporting citation: "Transformative education prepares learners to contribute meaningfully to a peaceful and just society" [9-21].

CONCLUSION

The intersection of sociology and business offers a promising pathway toward global peace. This systematic review has demonstrated that sociological insights enhance business practices by promoting ethics, inclusion, and community development. However, genuine transformation requires a shift from profit-centric to people-centric models. Future research should explore empirical studies that quantify the peace-building impact of sociologically informed business strategies.

Funding: No funding sources.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge university press.
2. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review, 89*(1/2), 62–77.
3. Galtung, J. (2018). Violence, peace and peace research. *Organicom, 15*(28), 33-56.
4. Brown, L. D., & Smith, P. (2022). The role of business in promoting social justice. *Journal of Business Ethics, 175*(3), 707–722. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04427-0
5. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
6. Korten, D. C. (2001). When corporations rule the world. *Kumarian Press*.
7. Sachs, J. D. (2015). The age of sustainable development. *Columbia University Press*.
8. Gündoğdu, E. (2021). Peace and development in global business: A sociological approach. *Global Development Review, 8*(1), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1017/gdr.2021.02
9. Morsi, R. (2023). Corporate peace-building in emerging economies. *Business and Peace Journal, 8*(2), 25–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2023.01.004
10. United Nations Global Compact. (2022). Business for Peace Annual Report.
11. Kivits, R., Sawang, S., Kivits, R., & Sawang, S. (2021). Stakeholder theory. *The dynamism of stakeholder engagement: A case study of the aviation industry*, 1-8.
12. Reychler, L., & Langer, A. (2020). Challenges of peace research. *Luc Reychler: A Pioneer in Sustainable Peacebuilding Architecture*, 241-255.
13. Korneeva, E., Skornichenko, N., & Oruch, T. (2021). Small business and its place in promoting sustainable development. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 250, p. 06007). EDP Sciences.
14. Schouten, P., & Miklian, J. (2020). The business–peace nexus:'business for peace'and the reconfiguration of the public/private divide in global governance. *Journal of International Relations and Development*, 23(2), 414-435.
15. Hossain, Q., Haque, S. A., Tusar, T., Hossain, M. I., & Habibullah, F. (2024). Leveraging business analytics to optimize retail merchandising strategies: A data-driven approach. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 10(21s). https://doi.org/10.2478/jisem-2025-0210
16. Begum, N. (2024). Big Data Analytics and Its Impact on Customer Behavior Prediction in Retail Businesses. *Pacific Journal of Business Innovation and Strategy*, 1(1), 49-59. https://doi.org/10.70818/pjbis.2024.v01i01.017
17. Riipa, M. B., Begum, N., Hriday, M. S. H., & Haque, S. A. (2025). Role of data analytics in enhancing business decision-making and operational efficiency. *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security*, 17(2), 400-412. https://doi.org/10.47363/ijcnis.2025.0202
18. Begum, N., Hasan Hriday, M. S., Haque, S. A., & Riipa, M. B. (2024). Enhancing energy management in industries through MIS and data analytics integration. *Letters in High Energy Physics*, 2024, 7255-7269.

19. Haque, S. A. (2025). ERP-Driven Data Analytics for Personalizing Advertising and Strengthening CRM Strategies. *Pacific Journal of Business Innovation and Strategy*, 2(1), 8-15. https://doi.org/10.70818/pjbis.2025.v02i01.019

20. Islam, R., Faysal, S. A., Shaikat, F. B., Happy, A. T., Bakchi, N., & Moniruzzaman, M. (2025). Integration of Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) with MIS: A framework for smart factory automation. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(21s).

21. Graham, J. R. (2022). Presidential address: Corporate finance and reality. The Journal of Finance, 77(4), 1975-2049.