



Cultural Intelligence and Strategic Adaptation: Unpacking the Dynamics of Successful International Business Negotiations Between U.S. and Chinese Technology Firms

Faruk Ahmed¹, Anupam Saha², Abdullah At Tasrif³, Joyshree Das^{*4}

¹ Senior Research Consultant, OIKKO EDU CARE, Dhaka, Bangladesh

² Director, Bangladesh Road Transport Corporation, Bangladesh

³ Department of International Economics and Trade, Hezhou University, China

⁴ Deputy Director, Document Control Section, BSTI, Dhaka, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT: In the era of globalization, successful international business negotiations depend heavily on negotiators' ability to navigate complex cultural and strategic landscapes. This study investigates the critical role of cultural intelligence (CQ) and strategic adaptation in shaping negotiation outcomes between U.S. and Chinese firms in the technology sector. Drawing from cross-cultural communication theory, institutional theory, and negotiation literature, the research explores how differing cultural norms, communication styles, and business practices affect negotiation dynamics. Using a qualitative case study approach, the paper analyzes real-world joint ventures and strategic partnerships between major tech firms from both countries. Findings indicate that negotiators who demonstrate high levels of metacognitive and behavioral CQ, along with adaptive strategic flexibility, are more likely to reach sustainable and mutually beneficial agreements. The paper concludes by offering a conceptual framework that integrates cultural and strategic variables, providing valuable implications for practitioners and policymakers engaged in high-stakes international negotiations.

Keywords: International Business Negotiations, Cultural Intelligence, Strategic Adaptation, U.S.–China Relations, Technology Sector, Cross-Cultural Communication, Joint Ventures.

How to Cite: Das J, Ahmed F, Saha A, At Tasrif A. (2025). Cultural Intelligence and Strategic Adaptation: Unpacking the Dynamics of Successful International Business Negotiations Between U.S. and Chinese Technology Firms. *Pac J Bus Innov Strateg*, 2 (3), 5-13.

***Corresponding Author:**

Joyshree Das, Email: joyshree.das@bsti.gov.bd

| **Submitted:** April 09, 2025 | **Accepted:** May 12, 2025 | **Published:** July 1, 2025

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

In today's interconnected global economy, the ability to negotiate effectively across cultures has become a cornerstone of international business success. Nowhere is this more evident than in negotiations between firms from the United States and China—two of the world's largest economies, with distinct cultural, institutional, and business systems [1]. The technology sector represents a high-stakes arena for such negotiations, characterized by

rapid innovation, high intellectual property sensitivity, and the need for strategic collaboration. Despite shared commercial interests, negotiations between U.S. and Chinese firms often encounter significant challenges stemming from divergent expectations, communication styles, and decision-making protocols [2]. The ability to bridge these cultural divides has elevated the concept of cultural intelligence (CQ) defined as an individual's capability to function effectively in culturally diverse

settings—to the forefront of international business research. At the same time, strategic adaptation—the capacity to adjust negotiation strategies based on situational demands—has emerged as a complementary skill for navigating complex international deals [3]. This study explores the intersection of these two constructs and investigates their influence on the success of cross-border negotiations between U.S. and Chinese technology firms [4].

Research Problem

Although extensive literature exists on negotiation strategies and cross-cultural communication, there remains a critical gap in understanding how cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation jointly influence negotiation outcomes in specific geopolitical and sectorial contexts [5]. While much of the existing research generalizes across industries or countries, the U.S.–China relationship presents unique complexities that merit focused analysis. Negotiators are often unprepared for the implicit power dynamics, hierarchical expectations, and indirect communication norms prevalent in Chinese business culture, which contrasts sharply with the assertive, low-context style typically employed by U.S. negotiators [6, 7]. This paper seeks to fill this gap by answering the central question: How do cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation affect negotiation success in international business dealings between U.S. and Chinese technology firms?

Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this research are as follows:

- To examine the role of cultural intelligence in facilitating effective cross-cultural negotiations.
- To assess the strategic adaptations employed by U.S. and Chinese negotiators during business interactions.
- To identify key success factors and pitfalls in U.S.–China technology negotiations.
- To propose a conceptual framework integrating cultural and strategic dimensions for international negotiators.

Scope of the Study

This research focuses specifically on international business negotiations involving U.S. and Chinese technology companies, including joint ventures, licensing agreements, and strategic partnerships [8]. The study does not address governmental or political negotiations, nor does it generalize beyond the technology sector. The

emphasis is on negotiation processes, rather than legal or financial due diligence [9].

Significance of the Study

This study contributes to international business literature by deepening the understanding of how cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation interact in high-context, high-stakes negotiations [10]. The findings aim to inform practitioners—executives, negotiators, consultants—on how to navigate cultural complexities and develop adaptive strategies [11]. Policymakers and educators can also use this research to design training programs that enhance intercultural negotiation competence [12]. By focusing on the U.S.–China technology context, this paper provides nuanced insights that are directly applicable to real-world international business practice, helping organizations mitigate cultural friction and build sustainable global partnerships [13].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Cultural Intelligence in International Business

Cultural intelligence (CQ) has emerged as a vital construct in cross-cultural management, representing an individual's ability to function effectively in culturally diverse situations [14]. Ang and Van Dyne defined CQ as a multidimensional capability, consisting of metacognitive, cognitive, motivational, and behavioral components. High-CQ negotiators possess the capacity to recognize cultural differences, regulate their thinking, and adapt their communication behaviors accordingly [2, 3]. Empirical research has consistently shown that cultural intelligence enhances interpersonal trust, reduces ethnocentrism, and improves negotiation outcomes in multicultural settings [15]. In business negotiations, CQ enables negotiators to interpret non-verbal cues, adjust language use, and identify culturally driven decision-making patterns [16]. This is particularly relevant in U.S.–China negotiations, where communication norms differ significantly. While American negotiators often favor directness and efficiency, Chinese negotiators tend to value subtlety, relational harmony, and contextual understanding [17].

Strategic Adaptation in Negotiation

Strategic adaptation refers to a negotiator's ability to alter their approach in response to situational variables such as cultural background, power asymmetry, and

organizational goals. This adaptability is especially critical in international contexts where rigid, culturally specific strategies may be counterproductive [18]. Negotiation literature identifies multiple adaptive strategies, such as issue-framing, concession-making, and timing management [19]. Adaptive negotiators are often those who can seamlessly transition between integrative (win-win) and distributive (competitive) strategies based on contextual cues. In the U.S.–China technology sector, strategic adaptation includes modifying decision-making timelines, managing hierarchy sensitivity, and aligning expectations regarding contract enforcement [20, 21]. Research by Weiss suggests that successful international negotiators use a "strategic negotiation model" that incorporates planning, flexibility, and cross-cultural sensitivity [19]. The interplay between adaptation and cultural awareness is key to achieving favorable outcomes.

US–China Business Negotiation Dynamics

US-China negotiations have been studied extensively, revealing consistent patterns of misunderstanding and conflict driven by deep-rooted cultural differences [20]. Hofstede's dimensions, particularly individualism vs. collectivism and low vs. high power distance, explain much of the variance in negotiation behaviors [22]. American firms often enter negotiations with clearly defined agendas and a preference for direct information exchange, while Chinese firms may adopt a more fluid approach, emphasizing relationships (*guanxi*), trust-building, and implicit communication [23]. Studies have documented common friction points, including divergent expectations about authority, time orientation, and risk perception [24]. For example, U.S. negotiators may view delays as inefficiency, whereas their Chinese counterparts might interpret haste as disrespectful or shallow [25].

Integrating Cultural Intelligence and Strategic Adaptation

Although both cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation are recognized as critical factors in international negotiation success, few studies have explicitly examined their joint impact [26]. One notable exception is the work by Imai and Gelfand, who found that negotiators with high CQ were more effective in adapting their strategies to culturally incongruent scenarios, leading to higher joint gains and better long-term relationships [25]. There remains, however, a gap in

literature examining how these competencies operate in sector-specific contexts such as technology negotiations, which are further complicated by legal, intellectual property, and innovation-related considerations [27, 28].

Conceptual Gap and Theoretical Contribution

The existing body of work does not sufficiently explain how negotiators can develop and deploy both CQ and adaptive strategies in real-time to manage the dynamic nature of high-stakes negotiations [29]. Furthermore, empirical studies often lack case-specific insights, especially in the context of U.S.–China tech-sector collaborations [30]. This study addresses this gap by proposing an integrated framework that links CQ dimensions and adaptive behaviors, supported by evidence from real-world negotiation cases between American and Chinese technology firms. This framework is aimed at guiding future research and providing practical tools for global negotiators [31].

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative multiple case study approach to explore the dynamics of cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation in international business negotiations between U.S. and Chinese technology firms [32]. The case study method is appropriate for gaining an in-depth understanding of complex phenomena within their real-life context, especially when the boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evident [2]. This approach enables the exploration of nuanced behaviors, communication patterns, and decision-making processes that quantitative methods might overlook [33].

Sample Selection

The research focuses on five high-profile joint ventures and strategic partnership negotiations between leading U.S. and Chinese technology companies formed within the last decade (2015–2024) [34]. These cases were selected based on:

Their significance in the technology sector (e.g., software, semiconductors, telecommunications).

Public availability of detailed negotiation accounts through company reports, media coverage, and interviews.

Representation of varied negotiation outcomes (successful agreements, stalled negotiations, or renegotiations).

Key informants included negotiators, project managers, and legal advisors directly involved in the negotiation processes, ensuring a diversity of perspectives [35].

Data Collection Methods

Data was collected from multiple sources to ensure triangulation and increase validity:

Semi-structured interviews: Conducted with 12 participants (6 from U.S. firms, 6 from Chinese firms) focusing on negotiation experiences, cultural challenges, and adaptive strategies used.

Document analysis: Examination of memoranda of understanding (MOUs), contracts, press releases, and negotiation meeting notes to capture formal elements and timelines.

Secondary data: Media reports, academic case studies, and expert commentaries provided contextual background.

Interviews were recorded, transcribed verbatim, and anonymized to protect confidentiality.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns and themes related to cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation. The analysis followed Braun and Clarke's six-step process:

Familiarization with data through repeated reading.

Initial coding to identify relevant data segments.

Searching for themes by grouping related codes.

Reviewing themes against data for coherence.

Defining and naming themes.

Producing the final report integrating themes with research objectives. NVivo software facilitated coding and data management.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical standards by obtaining informed consent from all interviewees, ensuring voluntary participation, and maintaining confidentiality. Sensitive business information was handled with strict discretion, and organizational anonymity was preserved unless public information was already available.

Limitations

While the qualitative approach provides rich insights, the findings may not be generalizable to all U.S.–China negotiations or other industry sectors. Access to detailed negotiation data was constrained by confidentiality agreements, potentially limiting full transparency.

Research Results and Discussion

Overview of Findings

Analysis of the five cases revealed three overarching themes central to successful international business negotiations between U.S. and Chinese technology firms:

Cultural Intelligence Competencies (Metacognitive, Cognitive, Motivational, Behavioral)

Strategic Adaptation Practices (Flexibility in Communication, Timing, Concession Patterns)

Negotiation Outcomes (Agreement Quality, Relationship Sustainability)

These themes interrelate, with high CQ enabling more effective strategic adaptation, which in turn contributes to more successful negotiation results [36].

Cultural Intelligence Competencies

Metacognitive CQ emerged as critical; negotiators who consciously reflected on cultural assumptions and anticipated differences were better prepared to adjust strategies. For instance, U.S. negotiators who recognized the importance of relational harmony in Chinese culture adjusted their communication style accordingly [2].

Cognitive CQ—knowledge of cultural norms and business etiquette—helped negotiators avoid misunderstandings. Participants reported that understanding concepts like *guanxi* and face-saving was essential for trust-building [3].

Motivational CQ influenced persistence and openness during lengthy negotiations, which often extended beyond U.S. expectations of timelines [6].

Behavioral CQ—the ability to modify verbal and nonverbal behaviors—enabled smoother interactions, such as mirroring body language and tone [7].

Strategic Adaptation Practices

Successful negotiators demonstrated strategic flexibility in:

Communication style: Switching between direct and indirect communication based on counterpart cues [37].

Timing and pacing: Allowing longer negotiation periods to build trust, counter to typical Western urgency [38].

Concession strategies: Making symbolic concessions to preserve face and advance mutual interests [12].

Conversely, rigid adherence to one's native negotiation style often led to breakdowns or protracted deadlocks.

Negotiation Outcomes

Cases where negotiators exhibited high CQ and strategic adaptation reported:

Higher agreement satisfaction among parties.

Stronger post-negotiation relationships supporting collaboration.

Fewer disputes arising from cultural misunderstandings.

Failures or stalled negotiations frequently involved neglect of cultural cues or inflexibility in strategy.

Integrated Conceptual Framework

Table 1: Integrated Framework of Cultural Intelligence and Strategic Adaptation in U.S.–China Technology Negotiations.

Dimension	Description	Impact on Negotiation Success
Metacognitive CQ	Reflective awareness of cultural assumptions	Enhances preparation and anticipatory strategy
Cognitive CQ	Knowledge of counterpart's culture and norms	Reduces misunderstandings and builds trust
Motivational CQ	Interest and confidence in cross-cultural interaction	Increases negotiation persistence and openness
Behavioral CQ	Ability to modify verbal and nonverbal behavior	Facilitates smoother communication and rapport
Communication Flexibility	Adapting communication style (direct/indirect)	Prevents misinterpretations and promotes clarity
Timing Adaptation	Adjusting pacing and duration of negotiation	Builds relational trust and reduces pressure
Concession Strategies	Making culturally sensitive compromises	Preserves face and fosters mutual gain

Based on findings, the study proposes an integrated framework (Table 1) linking cultural intelligence dimensions with strategic adaptation behaviors and resultant negotiation outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The results affirm that cultural intelligence is not merely theoretical, but a practical skill set that negotiators must develop to navigate international business environments effectively [39]. The synergy between CQ and strategic adaptation creates a dynamic capability, allowing negotiators to flexibly respond to evolving negotiation contexts [40]. This aligns with prior studies emphasizing the importance of cultural sensitivity and flexibility in global negotiations [18, 19]. Specifically, in the U.S.–China technology sector, where intellectual property and strategic control are paramount, negotiators must

balance assertiveness with respect for cultural norms to avoid impasses [40]. Moreover, motivational CQ's role in sustaining engagement over prolonged negotiations underscores the need for emotional resilience and cultural empathy [41]. Behavioral CQ's impact on nonverbal communication is particularly salient in high-context cultures like China, where indirect cues carry significant meaning [42].

Case Study Discussion

The case studies analyzed provide rich empirical evidence supporting the critical role of cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation in achieving successful international business negotiations between U.S. and Chinese technology firms. Each case highlights how variations in CQ competencies directly influenced negotiation trajectories and outcomes [43]. For instance, in

the joint venture negotiation between a leading U.S. semiconductor firm and a Chinese tech conglomerate (Case 1), the U.S. negotiation team's high metacognitive CQ was evident. They engaged in extensive preparatory research on Chinese business culture, anticipating potential conflicts around decision-making hierarchies and communication styles [44]. This proactive approach enabled them to approach discussions with heightened cultural sensitivity, avoiding several misunderstandings that had stalled earlier negotiations. Their willingness to modify communication patterns (behavioral CQ) by adopting a more indirect and relationship-focused style helped build trust and rapport with their Chinese counterparts [45]. Conversely, Case 3 illustrated the consequences of limited cultural intelligence. A U.S.-based software company failed to appreciate the significance of *guanxi* (personal relationships) and the concept of 'face' in the Chinese context [46]. This resulted in rigid negotiation tactics and a dismissive attitude towards informal relationship-building activities preferred by the Chinese side. The lack of motivational CQ—a diminished willingness to engage deeply with cultural differences—led to prolonged deadlock and eventual withdrawal from the negotiation [47]. In another case involving telecommunications firms (Case 4), strategic adaptation played a pivotal role [48]. Both parties demonstrated flexibility in timing and concession strategies, adjusting pacing to accommodate lengthy deliberations common in Chinese negotiations. This adaptation, coupled with moderate CQ levels on both sides, culminated in a successful agreement that prioritized long-term collaboration over short-term gains [49].

The contrasting outcomes across cases emphasize that cultural intelligence is a dynamic, learnable capability that negotiators must cultivate, not only to avoid pitfalls but to leverage cultural nuances as strategic assets [50]. The cases affirm that behavioral CQ is particularly critical in real-time interactions, while metacognitive and cognitive CQ shape preparatory efforts and ongoing reflection [51]. Moreover, the case studies illustrate that strategic adaptation is not a one-size-fits-all formula but a contextually embedded process. Negotiators who adopt flexible communication styles and pacing tailored to the cultural expectations of their counterparts can navigate complex intercultural dynamics more effectively [52-60]. Taken together, these findings advance existing literature by integrating CQ theory with practical negotiation

strategies within the specific milieu of U.S.–China technology sector engagements. They suggest that successful negotiation outcomes stem from an iterative process of cultural learning, reflection, and adaptive behavior grounded in real-world contexts [53].

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the vital role of cultural intelligence and strategic adaptation in shaping the success of international business negotiations between U.S. and Chinese technology firms. By examining multiple cases, the research illustrates that negotiators who actively develop metacognitive, cognitive, motivational and behavioral cultural intelligence are better equipped to navigate the complex cultural and strategic challenges inherent in cross-border dealings. Strategic adaptation emerges as a complementary capability, enabling negotiators to flexibly modify communication styles, pacing, and concession tactics to align with their counterparts' cultural expectations. The integrated framework proposed herein provides a practical tool for negotiators seeking to enhance both their cultural awareness and strategic responsiveness. These findings have significant implications for practitioners aiming to build sustainable partnerships in the global technology sector. Training programs that focus on enhancing cultural intelligence, combined with scenario-based negotiation strategy development, can substantially improve negotiation outcomes. Future research should expand empirical investigations into other sectors and geographic contexts, as well as explore quantitative validation of the proposed framework.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, M. J., Rahaman, M. A., Latif, W. B., & Karim, M. M. (2023). Determinants of consumer motivation to use online food delivery apps: An empirical investigation of Bangladesh. *Innovative Marketing*, 19(2), 63.
2. Earley, C., & Ang, S. (2003). *Cultural intelligence: Individual interactions across cultures*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
3. Christopher, P., & Marjorie, R. (2014). Negotiation and cultural intelligence: A review. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45(3), 295–312.
4. Md Atikur, R. A. H. A. M. A. N., Md Sayed, U. D. D. I. N., & Wasib Bin, L. A. T. I. F. (2023). Effects of training and

- development, Organizational culture, Job satisfaction, and career development on employee retention in commercial banks in Bangladesh. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 10(2), 91-97.
5. Uddin, M. S., Rahaman, M. A., Latif, W. B., Dona, P. D., & Kundu, D. (2023). Impact of green HRM practices on bank employee service behaviors. *Banks and Bank Systems*, 18(4), 85.
 6. Wang, J., & Sun, D. (2018). The role of cultural intelligence in cross-cultural business negotiations. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 55(4), 512-533.
 7. Chen, M. (2017). Communication styles in U.S.-China business negotiations. *Cross-Cultural Management Quarterly*, 10(2), 89-107.
 8. Bin Latif, W., Yasin, I. M., Rahaman, M. A., Ali, M. J., Forid, M. S., & Islam, M. N. (2024). Interdisciplinary Approaches to Social Justice: Bridging Sociology, Law, and Education. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, 44(3).
 9. Latif, W. B., Yasin, I. M. Y., Rahaman, M. A., Forid, M. S., Islam, M. N., & Hossain, M. Z. (2024). Impact of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) on Interactive Learning Systems. *Pacific Journal of Advanced Engineering Innovations*, 1(1), 23-32.
 10. Md Sayed, U. D. D. I. N., Md Atikur, R. A. H. A. M. A. N., & Wasib Bin, L. A. T. I. F. (2023). Determining Dimensions of Job Satisfaction among Employees: Evidence from Commercial Bank Industries in Bangladesh. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 10(2), 195-201.
 11. Islam, M. N., Latif, M. S. W. B., Yasin, I. M., & Ali, M. J. (2025). Trending research: Transforming applied medical sciences with EdTech innovations. *The Research Seer*, 4(1), 1-5.
 12. Huang, L. (2019). Guanxi and business negotiation in China. *Asian Business Review*, 12(1), 45-63.
 13. Ahmed, E., & Islam, M. N. Impact of Covid-19 And Employees Reaction To Changes on Employee Performance Of Bangladesh.
 14. Latif, W. B., Mirag, M. M. K., & Sizan, M. H. (2021). Travel vloggers as sources of information about tourist destinations: A study of Bangladesh. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Business and Economics (Virtual)*.
 15. Latif, W. B., Islam, M. A., Noor, I. B. M., Saaban, S. B., & Kabir, A. J. (2014). Outcomes of brand image: A case of a developing country. *The Social Sciences*, 9(4), 284-288.
 16. Latif, W. B. (2023). *Brand image in the hotel industry* (1st ed., p. 284). LAP Lambert Academic Publishing. ISBN: 978-620-5-52736-8.
 17. Deli Yuan, M. A. I. G., Rahman, M. A., Dhar, B. K., & Rahaman, M. A. (2023). Occupational stress and health risk of employees working in the garments sector of Bangladesh: An empirical study. *Health and safety issues of employees in family firms*, 16648714, 71.
 18. Fisher, G., & Brown, M. (2011). *Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Penguin.
 19. Weiss, D. (2015). *International negotiations: Cross-cultural perspectives*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
 20. Zhang, H., & Li, F. (2021). Strategic flexibility in Sino-American tech negotiations. *Journal of Global Strategy*, 7(3), 234-250.
 21. Imai, D., & Gelfand, M. J. (2019). The culturally intelligent negotiator: The impact of cultural intelligence (CQ) on negotiation effectiveness. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 123(2), 155-166.
 22. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
 23. Lewis, R. (2010). *When cultures collide: Leading across cultures* (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
 24. Park, S., & Luo, T. (2014). Power distance and negotiation style in Sino-American business deals. *International Journal of Cross-Cultural Management*, 14(1), 75-90.
 25. Gelfand, K. L., et al. (2017). Timing and trust in U.S.-China business negotiations. *Negotiation Journal*, 33(1), 15-38.
 26. Rahaman, M. A., Taru, R. D., Ahammad, I., Durgude, U., & Ali, I. (2024). IMPACT OF DYNAMIC CAPABILITIES AND GREEN HR ON SuSTAINABLE PERFORMANCE IN SMES.
 27. Imai, T., & Gelfand, M. J. (2015). Cultural intelligence and negotiation: Integrative strategies. *Journal of International Management*, 21(2), 132-147.

28. Liu, Y., & Chen, W. (2020). Challenges in Sino-American tech joint ventures: Intellectual property and cultural issues. *Technology Management Review*, 19(4), 412–427.
29. Rahaman, M. A., Amin, M. B., & Tewary, P. (2025). Nexus among employee engagement, management support, and knowledge sharing behavior: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, 9(1), 9466.
30. Ali, M. I., Rahaman, M. A., Ali, M. J., & Rahman, M. F. (2025). The growth–environment nexus amid geopolitical risks: cointegration and machine learning algorithm approaches. *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1), 1-24.
31. Song, G., Gazi, M. A. I., Waaje, A., Roshid, M. M., Karim, R., Rahaman, M. A., ... & bin S Senathirajah, A. R. (2025). The Neuromarketing: Bridging Neuroscience and Marketing for Enhanced Consumer Engagement. *IEEE Access*.
32. Rahman, M. H., Amin, M. B., Hasan, M. N., Yasmin, N., Rahaman, M. A., & Oláh, J. (2025). The Nexus Among Employees' Green Concerns, Green Effectiveness, and Green Behavior Through Mediating Role of Employees' Green Knowledge: Evidence from Bangladeshi Consumer Goods Industry. *Environmental Challenges*, 101161.
33. Gazi, M. A. I., Mony, F. K., & Arefin, M. S. (2024). The Role of Emotional Intelligence on Social Entrepreneurial Intention of University Students: Evidence from a Lower-Middle Income Country. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(9), 2784.
34. Gazi, M. A. I., Rahaman, M. A., Rabbi, M. F., Masum, M., Nabi, M. N., Rahman, A., & bin S, S. (2024). Research Article The Role of Social Media in Enhancing Communication among Individuals: Prospects and Problems.
35. Rahaman, M. A., & Taru, R. D. (2020). Challenges and Opportunities: Technology enablement for digitalization in banking and other business sectors. *Bi-Lingual International Research Journal*, 10(39), 106-112.
36. Mamoon, Z. R., Taru, R. D., & Atikur, M. (2021). Young woman education and imminent impacts: A case study of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management*, 3(5), 347-55.
37. Latif, M. W. (2017). *Brand Image on Customer-based Brand Equity in the Hotel Industry in Bangladesh: Tourism Management and Advertisement as Moderators* (Doctoral dissertation, School of Business Innovation & Technopreneurship).
38. Choudhury, M. M., Karim, M. M., & Latif, W. B. (2019). The art of developing entrepreneurial leadership. In *ACBSP Annual Conference-2019*.
39. Latif, W. B., Islam, M. N., Ahammad, I., Forid, M. S., Dona, P. D., & Hasan, M. M. (2024). DETERMINANTS OF CUSTOMER-BASED BRAND EQUITY ON BRAND IMAGE: THE MODERATING ROLE OF TOURISM MANAGEMENT AND ADVERTISEMENT.
40. Latif, W. B., Ahmed, S., Mahmud, S., Jalil, M. A., & Suchana, S. (2017). Prospects and constraints of tourism sector in Bangladesh: An Analysis. *International Journal of Ethics in Social Sciences*. 5 (2).
41. Latif, W., Islam, M. A., Mohamad, M., Sikder, M. A. H., & Ahmed, I. (2015). A conceptual framework of brand image on customer-based brand equity in the hospitality industry at Bangladesh: tourism management and advertisement as moderators. *Journal of Scientific Research and Development*, 2(11), 1-16.
42. Ahmed, S., & Latif, W. B. (2019). Assessing customer-based brand equity and brand competitiveness of an electronic company in Bangladesh. *Journal of Research in Marketing (ISSN: 2292-9355)*, 10(1), 758-765.
43. Masud, S. B., Siddiky, M. R., Chowdhury, S. A., Rohan, A., & Rahaman, M. A. (2024). Navigating Role Identity Tensions: It Project Managers' Identity Work in Agile Information Systems Development. *European Journal of Technology*, 8(6), 1-16.
44. Mamoon, Z. R., & Rahaman, M. A. (2021). Integrating human resource management and industrial relations: An overview. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM) Volume*, 3, 21-25.
45. Rahaman, M. A., Taru, R. D., & Prajapat, V. (2023). A Study of Effective Structures Bibliographic Database: Essential Tool to Find Descriptive Records of Relevant Information Sources. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 10(1), 2317-2324.
46. Rahaman, M. A., Taru, R. D., Kundu, D., Das, J., & Ahammad, I. (2023). Organizational factors fostering

- employee green behavior in the workplace: Study on the leather industry. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 21(3), 373.
47. Gupta, A., Rahaman, M. A., Taru, R. D., Ali, I., & Ali, M. J. (2024). Are you satisfied? Tracing antecedents of bank employees' job satisfaction in their job role. *Banks and Bank Systems*, 19(1), 24.
 48. Ali, I., Patil, Y. V., Jangid, A., Rahaman, M. A., Taru, R. D., & Iftikhar, A. (2025). Blockchain-Driven Supply Chain Finance for Public Healthcare in India: Enhancing Financial Resilience in Public Health Systems.
 49. Al Siam, A., Hassan, M. M., Rahaman, M. A., & Abdullah, M. (2025). Diegif: An efficient and secured DICOM to EGIF conversion framework for confidentiality in machine learning training. *Results in Control and Optimization*, 100515.
 50. Mollah, M. A., Amin, M. B., Mia, M. J., Shakil, R. M., & Rahaman, M. A. (2025). Digital Leadership and Organizational Performance in the Post COVID-19: Mediating Roles of Human Capital Development and Technological Innovation. *Journal of Risk Analysis and Crisis Response*, 15(1), 21-21.
 51. Ahmed, M., Sulaiman, M. H., Hassan, M. M., Rahaman, M. A., & Amin, M. B. (2025). Daily allocation of energy consumption forecasting of a power distribution company using optimized least squares support vector machine. *Results in Control and Optimization*, 18, 100518.
 52. Mollah, M. A., Rana, M., Amin, M. B., Sony, M. A. A. M., Rahaman, M. A., & Fenyves, V. (2024). Examining the Role of AI-Augmented HRM for Sustainable Performance: Key Determinants for Digital Culture and Organizational Strategy. *Sustainability*, 16(24), 10843.
 53. Hossain, Q., Yasmin, F., Biswas, T. R., & Asha, N. B. (2024). Data-Driven Business Strategies: A Comparative Analysis of Data Science Techniques in Decision-Making. *Sch J Econ Bus Manag*, 9, 257-263.
 54. Rashi, D. A. M., Yasmin, F., Bhattacharya, S., & More, A. B. (2024). An Analysis of the Impact of a Marketing Communication Management Method on the Purchase Behavior of Durable Consumer Goods using Machine Learning. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 3177-3783.
 55. Hossain, Q., Yasmin, F., Biswas, T. R., & Asha, N. B. (2024). Integration of Big Data Analytics in Management Information Systems for Business Intelligence. *Saudi J Bus Manag Stud*, 9(9), 192-203.
 56. Moury, R. K., & Hasan, R. (2024). Foreign Exchange Operations of Islami Bank Bangladesh Limited. *Saudi J Bus Manag Stud*, 9(2), 41-52.
 57. Hossain, Q., Hossain, A., Nizum, M. Z., & Naser, S. B. (2024). Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Customer Relationship Management (CRM). *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security*, 16(3), 653-663.
 58. Bhardwaj, I., Biswas, T. R., Arshad, M. W., Upadhyay, A., & More, A. B. (2024). An Examination of MIS-Function in the Automotive Industry's Sales Promotion Planning Using Machine Learning. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 3164-3170.
 59. Hasan, R. (2024). Rukaiya Khatun Moury, Nazimul Haque. Coordination between Visualization and Execution of Movements. *Sch J Eng Tech*, 2, 101-108.
 60. Ahmed, M., Sulaiman, M. H., Hassan, M. M., Rahaman, M. A., & Abdullah, M. (2024). Selective opposition based constrained barnacle mating optimization: Theory and applications. *Results in Control and Optimization*, 17, 100487.