



Patient-Specific Factors: Key Drivers of Surgical Outcomes in Spinal Fusion

Travis C. Hill*, PhD

* Department of Neurosurgery, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York

Spinal fusion surgery is a critical intervention for patients suffering from various spinal disorders, including degenerative diseases, spinal deformities, fractures, and tumors. The goal of spinal fusion is to stabilize the spine, alleviate pain, and restore function. Despite its success in many cases, the outcomes of spinal fusion surgery can be variable, with some patients experiencing remarkable improvements while others face complications, pain recurrence, or incomplete recovery. One of the significant contributors to these outcomes is the individual characteristics of the patient. These patient-specific factors can greatly influence the success or failure of spinal fusion procedures. This editorial explores the key patient-specific factors that impact surgical outcomes following spinal fusion and emphasizes the need for personalized approaches to treatment.

Keywords: Spinal Fusion, Comorbidities, Bone Quality, Psychosocial Factors.

***Corresponding author:** Travis C. Hill

Received: September 17, 2024 | **Accepted:** November 05, 2024 | **Published:** December 31, 2024

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

Age is one of the most influential factors in determining the outcomes of spinal fusion surgery. Older patients generally face a higher risk of complications, longer recovery times, and lower rates of successful fusion. As individuals age, the spinal disc degenerates, bone density decreases, and the healing process slows. These changes can reduce the effectiveness of the surgery and increase the likelihood of complications such as infections, non-union (failure of the bones to fuse), and delayed recovery [1]. Comorbidities, such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, obesity, and osteoporosis, also play a critical role in surgical outcomes. Diabetes, for example, can impair wound healing and increase the risk of infections, making post-surgical recovery more difficult [2]. Obesity is another factor that complicates surgical outcomes, as excess weight puts additional stress on the spine and can impair the fusion process [3]. Furthermore, cardiovascular conditions can compromise blood flow,

which is vital for tissue healing. Thus, addressing these comorbidities before surgery is essential for improving outcomes. Smoking is a well-established risk factor for poor surgical outcomes, particularly in spinal fusion. Nicotine has been shown to impair blood flow and reduce oxygen delivery to tissues, thereby hindering the healing process [4]. Moreover, smoking affects bone metabolism by reducing the formation of osteoblasts, the cells responsible for bone formation, which is critical in the fusion process. Studies have consistently shown that smokers are at a significantly higher risk of non-union after spinal fusion surgery compared to non-smokers [5]. Given its detrimental effects on bone healing and tissue recovery, smoking cessation should be strongly advised before undergoing spinal fusion surgery. The quality and density of a patient's bones are crucial in determining the success of spinal fusion. Osteoporosis, a condition characterized by weakened bones, is particularly

problematic for spinal fusion surgery. Patients with low bone mineral density (BMD) may have difficulty achieving a solid fusion, as the bones may not be able to provide the necessary support for the fusion process. Osteoporotic bones are more likely to fracture during the surgery and are less capable of maintaining the structural integrity of the spine after the procedure [6]. This makes it imperative for surgeons to assess BMD before surgery and consider the use of bone-strengthening treatments, such as bisphosphonates or calcium and vitamin D supplementation, in at-risk patients.

Psychosocial factors, such as mental health, stress levels, and patient expectations, can also impact surgical outcomes. Depression and anxiety have been linked to poor recovery following spinal surgery. Patients with poor mental health often experience higher levels of pain, slower recovery, and a greater likelihood of developing complications post-surgery [7]. Psychological distress can also affect the patient's ability to adhere to post-surgical rehabilitation and lifestyle modifications, further hindering the recovery process. Additionally, unrealistic expectations can negatively affect the outcome of the surgery. Patients who expect complete relief from pain and full restoration of function may be disappointed, leading to dissatisfaction with the results and potentially contributing to chronic pain syndromes or emotional distress after the procedure [8]. It is essential that patients have a clear understanding of the potential outcomes and limitations of spinal fusion surgery, which can be facilitated through thorough pre-surgical counseling and education. Emerging research suggests that genetic factors may play a role in determining the success of spinal fusion surgery. Variations in genes involved in bone metabolism and healing processes can affect a patient's ability to heal properly after surgery. For example, certain genetic polymorphisms in the collagen gene may influence the quality of the bone matrix and, consequently, the success of the fusion [9]. While this area of research is still developing, genetic screening could one day become a part of preoperative assessments, allowing for more tailored and effective treatment plans based on the patient's genetic profile. The preoperative functional status of the patient is another essential factor in determining surgical outcomes. Patients who are in good physical condition before surgery are more likely to experience better recovery and outcomes following spinal fusion. This includes factors such as muscle strength,

flexibility, and overall fitness. Preoperative physical therapy or conditioning may improve outcomes, particularly in patients with muscle atrophy or stiffness around the spine, which is common in individuals with long-standing spinal issues [10]. While patient-specific factors are crucial, the surgical technique and choice of implants also play a significant role in the outcomes of spinal fusion. Advancements in surgical techniques, such as minimally invasive surgery (MIS), have been shown to reduce recovery times and complications, particularly in older patients or those with significant comorbidities. MIS allows for smaller incisions, reduced muscle dissection, and less blood loss, all of which contribute to a quicker recovery and lower risk of complications [11]. The choice of implants also impacts the success of spinal fusion. Modern spinal instrumentation, such as pedicle screws, rods, and interbody devices, provide enhanced stability to the spine during the healing process. The proper selection of these implants, based on the patient's specific anatomical and pathological needs, can significantly improve the success rate of the procedure [12]. Postoperative care and rehabilitation are critical in ensuring a successful outcome after spinal fusion surgery. Proper pain management, wound care, and prevention of complications such as infections and blood clots are essential. Furthermore, postoperative rehabilitation plays a vital role in restoring function and mobility. Physical therapy focuses on strengthening the muscles surrounding the spine, improving posture, and increasing flexibility is necessary for optimal recovery. The role of the patient in their recovery cannot be overstated. Adherence to postoperative instructions, including restrictions on movement and activity levels, is critical in preventing complications and ensuring that the fusion process proceeds as planned. Patients who actively engage in their rehabilitation process tend to achieve better functional outcomes and experience lower levels of postoperative pain [13].

Spinal fusion surgery is a complex procedure, and the success of the surgery is influenced by a range of patient-specific factors. Age, comorbidities, smoking status, bone quality, psychosocial factors, and genetic predisposition all play significant roles in determining the outcomes of the procedure. Additionally, preoperative functional status, the surgical technique used, and the quality of postoperative care all contribute to the likelihood of a successful outcome. As the understanding

of these factors grows, personalized approaches to spinal fusion surgery can be developed, offering patients the best possible chance for a successful recovery. Future advancements in preoperative assessments, surgical techniques, and postoperative care will continue to improve the outcomes of spinal fusion surgery and enhance the quality of life for patients.

REFERENCES

1. Schneider S, Schubert M, Ziska M, et al. The influence of age on the outcome of spinal fusion surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(14):1120-1126.
2. Smith C, Kwon B, Finkelstein J, et al. Diabetes and spine surgery: A review of the literature. *Spine J*. 2018;18(9):1550-1556.
3. Owens TR, Neely R, Calder J, et al. Impact of obesity on spinal surgery outcomes. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2015;28(7):E275-E280.
4. Miclau T, Lane J. The role of smoking in bone healing. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2014;28(9):E268-E273.
5. Heary RF, Kepler CK, Vaccaro AR. The impact of smoking on spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38(24):2097-2102.
6. Schellinger D, Dunn R. Bone density and its impact on the outcomes of spinal fusion. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2016;98(3):142-148.
7. Guzman JZ, Laskowski ER, McClain RM. Psychological factors affecting recovery after spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015;40(7):534-540.
8. Waddell G, Burton AK. Concepts of back pain and treatment: A review. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42(15):1146-1154.
9. Wang Q, Yang X, Li S. Genetic factors in spinal fusion: Implications for patient outcomes. *J Orthop Res*. 2019;37(5):1075-1082.
10. Colachis SC, Smith AC. The importance of preoperative conditioning in spinal surgery. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2017;30(2):E60-E64.
11. Park P, Gupta M, Drazin D. Minimally invasive spinal surgery: Current concepts and outcomes. *Neurosurgery*. 2016;79(6):617-624.
12. Liu J, Wu X, Zhang J. The role of spinal instrumentation in improving outcomes in spinal fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2018;29(4):479-485.
13. Tang S, Zhao S, Wang T. The role of rehabilitation in post-spinal fusion recovery. *Eur Spine J*. 2015;24(10):2313-2321.